



2016 Decisions

Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

2-4-2016

USA v. Richard Corbin

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2016

Recommended Citation

"USA v. Richard Corbin" (2016). *2016 Decisions*. 116.
https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2016/116

This February is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2016 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

Nos. 11-2767, 11-4032 & 13-1084

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

RICHARD CORBIN
a/k/a ASHEED
a/k/a SHEED
a/k/a RICHARD RASHEED CORBIN

Richard Corbin,
Appellant (Nos. 11-2767 & 13-1084)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JOHNNIE CORLEY,
a/k/a
BLACK,
a/k/a
C,
a/k/a
CURLEY

Johnnie Corley,
Appellant (No. 11-4032)

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
(D.C. Criminal Action Nos. 2-10-cr-00352-002/003)
District Judge: Honorable Michael M. Baylson

Submitted Under Third Circuit LAR 34.1(a)
November 18, 2014

Before: AMBRO, SCIRICA, and ROTH, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed April 21, 2015)

ORDER AMENDING OPINION

AMBRO, Circuit Judge

IT IS NOW ORDERED that the Not Precedential Opinion in the above case filed April 21, 2015, be amended as follows:

On page 8, footnote 2, starting twelfth line down, each time the name “Corbin” appears, replace it with “Corley” so that the text will read:

“ . . . jeopardy; (9) Corley’s challenges to the grand jury proceedings; (10); Corley’s argument that the trial proceedings caused a variance; (11) Corley’s claims relating to the Government’s introduction of evidence regarding his cell phone usage at or near the time of the robberies; and (12) Corley’s attack on the jury instructions.”

By the Court,

s/ Thomas L. Ambro, Circuit Judge

Dated: February 4, 2016